PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

| TRA | NS | MIT | TAL |
|-----|----|-----|-----|
| | FO | RM | |

Application Number 10/811,028 Filing Date March 25, 2004 First Named Inventor Bennett, Michael Art Unit 1633 **Examiner Name** Kelly, Robert M.

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Attorney Docket Number 020714-002410US

| 101 | ai Number of F | rages in This Submission | | <u> </u> | | | 0211000 | | | |
|---|--|---|---|------------------------------------|---------|---|---|--|--|--|
| THE COURTS | | | | | | | | | | |
| ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | mittal Form | | Drawing(s) Licensing-related Paper | s | | After Allowance Communication to TC Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences | | | |
| Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application | | Rem | Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on CD marks The Commissioner is authoricated Account 20-1430. | | Requi | Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Return Postcard and Response to Restriction Requirement (2 pgs.) | | | | |
| | | ply to Missing Parts der 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 | | | | 00.40 | - | | | |
| | | SIGNA | IUKE | OF APPLICANT, A | HORNEY, | OR AG | ENI | | | |
| Firm N | Firm Name Townsend and Townsend and Crew LLP | | | | | | | | | |
| Signat | Signature Q | | | | | | | | | |
| Printed | d name | Chris J. Ullsperger | | | | | | | | |
| Date December 21, 2005 | | | Reg. No. | 48,0 | 06 | | | | | |
| CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING | | | | | | | | | | |

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.

Signature

Typed or printed name

Patricia A. Balero

Date

December 21, 2005



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

BENNETT and CHEN

Application No.: 10/811,028

Filed: March 25, 2004

For: METHODS OF TREATING

XEROSTOMIA AND XEROPHTHALMIA

Confirmation No. 9644

Examiner:

Kelly, Robert M.

Art Unit:

1633

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement mailed November 21, 2005, Applicants elect, with traverse, to prosecute the claims of Group I, claims 2-23 and 34, drawn to a method of attenuating increases in radiation-induced free radicals or superoxide anions in a mammalian cell, comprising the administration of at least one nucleic acid encoding at least one protein, a plurality of salivary gland cells comprising nucleic acids, and specific nucleic acids utilized in the methods.

Applicants also elect the following species for Examination, per the Examiner's request:

- (a) manganous superoxide dismutase;
- (b) SEQ ID NO.1 (encoding pMB1-MnSOD);
- (c) administration prior to irradiation of a salivary cell.

The foregoing election is made with traverse. Examination of the subject matter recited in the claims of Groups I and IV together would not place a substantially greater burden on the Examiner. Both groups are in the same art class (514/44) and searching and examining the relevant art for both groups of claims should not pose substantial additional burdens on the Examiner. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw the Restriction Requirement and to consider Groups I and IV together in the present case.

Moreover, Applicants submit that the species elections are unreasonably limiting. Applicants also can discern no reason for the Examiner to limit Examination solely to either expression of the vector "prior to" irradiation versus "after" irradiation, or solely to a single type of cell, at this stage of prosecution. Examining those species concurrently would not create undue burdens for the Examiner.

CONCLUSION

If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at 925-472-5004.

Chris J. Ullsperger Reg. No. 48,006

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor San Francisco, California 94111-3834

Tel: 925-472-5000 Fax: 415-576-0300

CJU/cju 60665609 v1